

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,151	11/17/2003	Hirosumi Ogawa	040302-0358	9436	
22428	7590 06/23/2006		EXAMINER		
FOLEY ANI	D LARDNER LLP	PAPE, JOSEPH			
SUITE 500 3000 K STRE	ET NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3612		
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/713,1	151	OGAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary			er	Art Unit				
		Joseph D). Pape	3612				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communion Reply	cation appears on th	e cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ac	Idress			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stati re to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months af ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e unication. tutory period will apply and v will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNION VENT, however, may a rewill expire SIX (6) MON polication to become AE	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this of the table of tabl				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 12 April 2006						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)[🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 8.9 and 12-19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) <u>1,3-7,20 and 21</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	Evaminer						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 November 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	=	-		FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	•	_	•				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation	nal Bureau (PCT Ru	ule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmer								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date								
	O-152)							
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1, 3-5, 10-11and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dedrich et al. in view of Wycech '496.

Dedrich et al. disclose a vehicle door panel including an outer panel, an inner panel and a trim.

Dedrich et al. do not disclose a reinforcement section for the outer panel.

Wycech '496 discloses a reinforcement section 14, 16 positioned on an upper part of the inner surface of the outer panel including an aluminum foil portion 14.

Reference Figure 2. This reinforcement inherently functions as a heat insulator and the portion of the outer panel surface without the reinforcement inherently functions as a heat dissipater relative to the reinforcement portion.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the inner surface of the outer panel of Dedrich et al. with a reinforcement as taught by Wycech '496 to enhance the strength of the outer panel.

Re claim 6, Dedrich et al., as modified, do not disclose the exact process by which the aluminum foil is applied.

The product by process limitation of "painting a coating" has been treated as follows. Dedrich et al., as modified, teaches a product that is the same as the <u>claimed</u> product set forth in the product-by-process claim although produced by a different process which is a proper rejection of the claim. See In re Marosi, 710 F.2d 799, 218 USPQ 289 (Fed. Cir. 1983) and In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 227 USPQ 964 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See also MPEP § 2113.

3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claim 6 above, and further in view of JP2001158306.

Dedrich et al., as modified, discloses the claimed invention except for the aluminum foil layer including aluminum flakes.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3612

The '306 reference discloses the use of a film containing aluminum flakes provided on a surface of an automobile body panel for heat insulation function.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the aluminum foil layer of Dedrich et al., as modified, with aluminum flakes as taught by the '306 reference for an enhanced heat insulation function.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3612

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Pape whose telephone number is (571)272-6664. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday 6:30 AM-3:00 PM.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jdp

6/18/06